arXiv:1211.5559v1 [math.DG] 23 Nov 2012

A GENERALIZATION OF LI-YAU ESTIMATE

PAUL W.Y. LEE

Abstract. We prove a generalization of Li-Yau estimate for a family of second order linear parabolic equations. We show that this generalization is sharp in the sense that the equality case is achieved by a family of fundamental solutions different from the Gaussian heat kernels.

1. Introduction

The Li-Yau estimate [10] is the following sharp inequality of any positive solution ρ_t of the heat equation $\dot\rho_t = \Delta\rho_t$ on a manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature:

$$\Delta \log \rho_t \geq -\frac{n}{2t}. \tag{1.1}$$

There are vast generalizations of the above important inequality to various other geometric evolution equations. For a detail account of all these generalizations as well as the importance of the inequality (1.1), see [13].

There are generalizations of the inequality (1.1) to other second order linear parabolic equations under the, so called, curvature-dimension conditions (see for instance [3]). They are estimates of the form

$$L \log \rho_t \geq -\frac{n}{2t}, \tag{1.2}$$

where L is a linear differential operator without constant term and ρ_t is a solution of the equation $\dot\rho_t = L\rho_t$.

However, one of the important features of the inequality (1.1) is its sharpness in the sense that equality of (1.1) is achieved by the following solution of the heat equation on the Euclidean space:

$$\rho_t(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}\right).$$

On the other hand, the following is a solution

$$\rho_t(x) = \left(\frac{2\pi(\exp(2tk)-1)}{k\exp(2tk)}\right)^{-n/2} \exp\left(\frac{-k|x|^2}{2(\exp(2tk)-1)}\right). \tag{1.3}$$

Date: November 26, 2012.

of the equation

$$\dot{\rho}_t = \Delta\rho_t - k\left\langle x, \nabla\rho_t\right\rangle = \Delta\rho_t - \left\langle \nabla\left(\frac{k}{2}|x|^2\right), \nabla\rho_t\right\rangle \tag{1.4}$$

where $k > 0$ is a constant.

The solutions (1.3) never satisfy the equality case of (1.2). Motivated by this observation, we prove the following generalization of (1.1). For simplicity, we assume, through out this paper, that the manifolds are compact without boundary. This assumption can be removed by a localization procedure as in [10, 11].

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that*

1. $\boldsymbol{Rc} \geq 0$ *(*$\boldsymbol{Rc}$ *denotes the Ricci curvature of the underlying Riemannian manifold),*
2. $\Delta(\Delta U + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla U|^2) \leq nk^2$

for some non-negative constant k*. Then any positive solution* ρ_t *of the equation* $\dot{\rho}_t = \Delta\rho_t + \langle\nabla U, \nabla\rho_t\rangle$ *satisfy the following estimate:*

$$\Delta\log\rho_t + \frac{1}{2}\Delta U \geq -\frac{nk}{2}\coth(kt). \tag{1.5}$$

By letting $U \equiv 0$ and k goes to 0, we recover the estimate (1.1). Note also that the solution (1.3) achieves the equality case of (1.5) and the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 with $U = -\frac{k}{2}|x|^2$.

Li-Yau type estimates are also called differential Harnack inequalities since one can recover the Harnack inequality [12] by integrating the estimate (1.1) along geodesics. An analogue of this fact also holds true in our setting. However, instead of integrating along geodesics, the correct paths in this case are the minimizers of the following functional:

$$c_{s,t}(x,y) = \inf_{\gamma(s)=x,\gamma(t)=y}\int_s^t \frac{1}{2}|\dot{\gamma}(\tau)|^2 + V(\gamma(\tau))d\tau, \tag{1.6}$$

where the infimum is taken over all paths $\gamma : [s,t] \to M$ joining x and y. The idea of considering functionals of the form (1.6) already appeared in [10].

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that*

1. $\boldsymbol{Rc} \geq 0$*,*
2. $\Delta(\Delta U + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla U|^2) \leq nk^2$

for some non-negative constant k*. Then any positive solution* ρ_t *of the equation* $\dot{\rho}_t = \Delta\rho_t + \langle\nabla U, \nabla\rho_t\rangle$ *satisfies the following estimate:*

$$\frac{\rho_t(y)}{\rho_s(x)} \geq \left(\frac{\sinh(kt)}{\sinh(ks)}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{s,t}(x,y) + U(y) - U(x)\right)\right)$$

By letting $U \equiv 0$ and k goes to 0, we recover the following Harnack estimate.

Corollary 1.3. [12, 10] *Assume that* $\boldsymbol{Rc} \geq 0$. *Then any positive solution* ρ_t *of the equation* $\dot{\rho}_t = \Delta\rho_t$ *satisfy the following estimate:*

$$\frac{\rho_t(y)}{\rho_s(x)} \geq \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{d^2(x,y)}{4(t-s)}}.$$

It is also known that Corollary 1.3 recovers the heat kernel comparison theorem of Cheeger-Yau [5] if we let ρ_t be the heat kernel and letting s goes to 0. The same principle also works for Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that*

1. $\boldsymbol{Rc} \geq 0$,
2. $\Delta(\Delta U + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla U|^2) \leq nk^2$

for some non-negative constant $k > 0$. *Then the fundamental solution* $p_t(x, y)$ *of the equation* $\dot{\rho}_t = \Delta\rho_t + \langle\nabla U, \nabla\rho_t\rangle$ *satisfies the following estimate:*

$$p_t(x,y) \geq \left(\frac{k}{4\pi\sinh(kt)}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{0,t}(x,y) + U(y) - U(x)\right)\right). \tag{1.7}$$

In the case $U = -\frac{k|x|^2}{2}$, the cost function is given by

$$c_{0,t}(0,y) = \frac{k|y|^2\coth(kt)}{2} - knt$$

(see the proof of Theorem 3.5) and right hand side of (1.7) becomes the fundamental solution (1.3). Therefore, all inequalities in Theorem 1.4 become equalities in this case.

Again, by setting $U \equiv 0$ and letting k goes to 0, we recover the Cheeger-Yau estimate.

Corollary 1.5. [5] *Assume that* $\boldsymbol{Rc} \geq 0$. *Then the heat kernel* $p_t(x, y)$ *of the equation* $\dot{\rho}_t = \Delta\rho_t$ *satisfy the following estimate:*

$$p_t(x,y) \geq \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{d^2(x,y)}{4t}}.$$

In [9], Hamilton proved a matrix version of (1.1) for the heat equation. The following is a matrix version of (1.1).

Theorem 1.6. *Let* ρ_t *be a solution of the equation* $\dot{\rho}_t = \Delta\rho_t + \langle\nabla U, \nabla\rho_t\rangle$. *Assume that*

1. *the sectional curvature of the Riemannian manifold* M *is non-negative,*

(2) *the Ricci curvature is parallel,*
(3) $\nabla^2\left(\Delta U + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla U|^2\right) \leq k^2 g$ *for some non-negative constant* k.

Then

$$\nabla^2 \log \rho_t + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 U \geq -\frac{k}{2}\coth(kt) g.$$

Once again, if the underlying manifold is $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $U(x) = -\frac{k}{2}|x|^2$, then

$$\nabla^2\left(\Delta U + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla U|^2\right) = k^2 g,$$

and

$$\nabla^2 \log \rho_t + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 U = -\frac{k}{2}\coth(kt) g.$$

Therefore, the inequalities in Theorem 1.6 are equalities in this case.

By setting $U \equiv 0$ and letting $k \to 0$, we recover

Theorem 1.7. [9] *Let* ρ_t *be a solution of the equation* $\dot\rho_t = \Delta\rho_t$. *Assume that*

(1) *the sectional curvature of the Riemannian manifold* M *is non-negative,*
(2) *the Ricci curvature is parallel.*

Then

$$\nabla^2 \log \rho_t \geq -\frac{1}{2t} g.$$

The above results can be proved using Bochner formula and the maximum principle. However, instead of Bochner formula, we will prove a general result (Theorem 2.1 and 2.3) using a moving frame argument as in [15]. In section 3 and 4, we show that the above generalization of Li-Yau estimate and its matrix analogue are simple consequences of Theorem 2.1 and 2.3.

Aronzon-Bénilan estimate is a differential Harnack inequality for the porous medium equation

$$\dot\rho_t = \Delta(\rho_t^m).$$

In section 5, we will prove a generalization of Aronzon-Bénilan estimate using Theorem 2.1 and 2.3. Finally, we will prove sharp Laplace and Hessian type comparison theorems for the cost function (6.1) in section 6.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we state and prove general results which will be used in the next few sections. For this, we will introduce some notations. Let M be a n-dimensional compact manifold without boundary equipped with a Riemannian metric denoted by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ or g. The corresponding Riemann curvature tensor is denoted by $\mathbf{Rm}$. Let F be a function on the space of all $n \times n$ matrices. We assume that F is invariant under orthogonal changes of variables (i.e. $F(O^TAO) = F(A)$ for each orthogonal matrix O). For each linear map $W : T_xM \to T_xM$ of the tangent space T_xM at a point x, we set $F(W) = F(\mathcal{W})$, where $\mathcal{W}$ is the matrix with ij-th entry equal to $\langle W(v_i), v_j\rangle$ and $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ is an orthonormal frame at x. This is well-defined since F is invariant under orthogonal changes of variables. Note that this condition is not needed or can be relaxed when the tangent bundle TM of M is parallelizable. For instance, when the manifold is the flat torus, this condition can be completely removed. Finally, if u, v, and w are tangent vectors, then $u \otimes v$ denotes the linear map defined by $u \otimes v(w) = \langle v, w\rangle\, u$.

The following is a generalization of the Li-Yau estimate [10].

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that there is a non-negative function $b_t : M \to \mathbb{R}$, a time dependent vector field Y_t on M, and a fibre-preserving bundle homomorphism $W_t : TM \to TM$ of the tangent bundle TM such that*

1. $F'(A)(B^2) \geq k_1F(B)^2$ *for some non-negative constant* k_1,
2. $F'(\nabla X)(W_t + \boldsymbol{Rm}(\cdot, X)X) \geq k_3$ *for some constant* k_3,
3. $F'(\nabla X)(\nabla(\dot{X}_t + \nabla_{X_t}X_t) + W_t) + k_2F(\nabla X_t)^2$
   $\leq F'(\nabla X)(b_t\nabla^2(F(\nabla X_t)) + \nabla(F(\nabla X_t)) \otimes Y_t)$,
4. $k_1 + k_2 > 0$,

Then

$$F(\nabla X_t) \leq \frac{1}{k_1 + k_2}a_{(k_1+k_2)k_3}(t),$$

where

$$a_K(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{K}\cot(\sqrt{K}\,t) & \text{if } K > 0 \\ \frac{1}{t} & \text{if } K = 0 \\ \sqrt{-K}\coth(\sqrt{-K}\,t) & \text{if } K < 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.2. Note that the above theorem can be further generalized to include situation considered in [3] if F is allowed to depend on X, not just ∇X. However, we will not pursue this here.

A matrix version of Li-Yau estimate was done by Hamilton [9]. The following is the corresponding matrix version of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that there is a non-negative function* $b_t : M \to \mathbb{R}$*, a time dependent vector field* Y_t *on* M*, and a fibre-preserving bundle homomorphism* $W_t : TM \to TM$ *of the tangent bundle* TM *such that*

1. $w \mapsto \langle X_t, w\rangle$ *is a closed 1-form,*
2. $W_t + \boldsymbol{Rm}(\cdot, X_t)X_t \geq k_3 g$ *for some constant* k_3*,*
3. $\left\langle \nabla_v(\dot{X}_t + \nabla X_t(X_t)), v\right\rangle + k_2 \langle \nabla X_t(\nabla X_t(v)), v\rangle + \langle W_t v, v\rangle$ $\leq b_t \langle \Delta\nabla X_t(v), v\rangle + \langle \nabla_{Y_t}\nabla_v X_t, v\rangle$ *for each eigenvector of the linear map* $w \mapsto \nabla_w X_t$ *with the largest eigenvalue,*
4. $1 + k_2 > 0$*,*

Then

$$\nabla X_t \leq \frac{1}{1+k_2} a_{(1+k_2)k_3}(t) g.$$

As a consequence, we obtain the following estimate on the volume growth of a set under the flow of the vector field X_t if $F = \mathbf{tr}$.

Corollary 2.4. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 with* $F = \boldsymbol{tr}$*,*

$$(b_{(k_1+k_2)k_3}(t))^{-\frac{1}{k_1+k_2}} \boldsymbol{vol}(\varphi_t(D))$$

is a decreasing function of time t*, where*

$$b_K(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}\sin(\sqrt{K}t) & \text{if } K > 0 \\ t & \text{if } K = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-K}}\sinh(\sqrt{-K}t) & \text{if } K < 0. \end{cases}$$

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of the above mentioned results.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let φ_t be the one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms defined by the vector field X_t: $\dot{\varphi}_t = X_t(\varphi_t)$ and $\varphi_0(x) = x$. Let $\gamma(s)$ be a curve which start from x with initial velocity v: $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma'(0) = v$. Then

$$\frac{D}{dt} d\varphi_t(v) = \frac{D}{ds}\frac{D}{dt}\varphi_t(\gamma(s))\Big|_{s=0} = \nabla_{d\varphi_t(v)} X_t.$$

Let $v_1(0), ..., v_n(0)$ be an orthonormal frame at a point x and let $v_1(t), ..., v_n(t)$ be the parallel transport of $v_1(0), ..., v_n(0)$ along the path $\varphi_t(x)$. Let $A(t)$ be the matrix defined by

$$d\varphi_t(v_i(0)) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}(t) v_j(t).$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \dot{A}_{ij}(t) v_j(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{ij}(t) \nabla_{v_j(t)} X_t.$$

Therefore, if $S_{ij}(t) = \left\langle \nabla_{v_i(t)} X_t, v_j(t) \right\rangle$, then $S(t) = A(t)^{-1}\dot{A}(t)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{S}(t) &= -A(t)^{-1}\dot{A}(t)A(t)^{-1}\dot{A}(t) + A(t)^{-1}\ddot{A}(t) \\ &= -S(t)^2 + A(t)^{-1}\ddot{A}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

On the other hand, if we differentiate the equation $\dot{\varphi}_t = X_t(\varphi_t)$, then we get

$$\frac{D}{dt}\dot{\varphi}_t = \dot{X}_t(\varphi_t) + \nabla_{X_t} X_t(\varphi_t)$$

and

$$\frac{D}{ds}\frac{D}{dt}\dot{\varphi}_t(\gamma(s))\Big|_{s=0} = \nabla_{d\varphi_t(v)} \left(\dot{X}_t + \nabla_{X_t} X_t\right).$$

By the definition of the Riemann curvature tensor $\mathbf{Rm}$, it follows that

$$\frac{D^2}{dt^2} d\varphi_t(v) + \mathbf{Rm}(d\varphi_t(v), X_t(\varphi_t))X_t(\varphi_t) = \nabla_{d\varphi_t(v)} \left(\dot{X}_t + \nabla_{X_t} X_t\right).$$

Therefore, by the definition of the matrix $A(t)$, the following holds

$$\ddot{A}(t) + A(t)(R(t) - M(t)) = 0,$$

where

$$R_{ij}(t) = \langle \mathbf{Rm}(v_i(t), X_t(\varphi_t(x)))X_t(\varphi_t(x)), v_j(t)\rangle$$

and

$$M_{ij}(t) = \left\langle \nabla_{v_i(t)} \left(\dot{X}_t + \nabla_{X_t} X_t\right), v_j(t) \right\rangle_{\varphi_t(x)}.$$

By combining this with (2.1), we obtain

$$\dot{S}(t) + S(t)^2 + R(t) = M(t). \tag{2.2}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} F(S(t)) + F'(S(t))(S(t)^2 + R(t)) = F'(S(t))(M(t)). \tag{2.3}$$

Let t_0 be the first time where $F(\nabla X_{t_0}(\varphi_{t_0}(x))) = k a_K(t_0)$ for some point x, where $k > 0$. By assumption, we have

$$F'(\nabla X_{t_0})(\nabla(\dot{X}_{t_0} + \nabla_{X_{t_0}} X_{t_0}) + W_t) + k_2 F(\nabla X_{t_0})^2 \le 0 \tag{2.4}$$

at $\varphi_{t_0}(x)$.

In the matrix notation, we have

$$F'(S(t_0))(M(t_0) + \mathcal{W}(t_0)) + k_2 F(S(t_0))^2 \le 0,$$

where $\mathcal{W}(t_0)$ be the matrix with ij-th entry equal to $\langle W_t(v_i(t)), v_j(t)\rangle$.

By combining this with (2.3) and using the assumptions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}F(S(t_0)) + k_1F(S(t_0))^2 + k_3\\
&\le \frac{d}{dt}F(S(t_0)) + k_1F(S(t_0))^2 + F'(S(t_0))(R(t_0) + \mathcal{W}(t_0))\\
&\le \frac{d}{dt}F(S(t_0)) + F'(S(t_0)^2) + F'(S(t_0))(R(t_0) + \mathcal{W}(t_0))\\
&= F'(S(t_0))(M(t_0) + \mathcal{W}(t_0))\\
&\le -k_2F(S(t_0))^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of t_0, we have $ka_K(t_0) = F(S(t_0))$ and $k\dot{a}_K(t_0) \le \frac{d}{dt}F(S(t_0))$. Therefore, the above inequality becomes

$$k\dot{a}_K(t_0) + (k_1 + k_2)k^2a_K(t_0)^2 + k_3 \le 0.$$

Since a_K satisfies

$$\dot{a}_K + a_K^2 + K = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

it follows that

$$k((k_1 + k_2)k - 1)a(t_0)^2 + k_3 - kK \le 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain a contradiction if $k = \frac{1}{k_1+k_2}$ and $K < (k_1 + k_2)k_3$. Hence

$$F(\nabla X_t) < \frac{1}{k_1 + k_2}a_K(t)$$

for all $K < (k_1 + k_2)k_3$. By letting $K \to (k_1 + k_2)k_3$, we obtain

$$F(\nabla X_t) \le \frac{1}{k_1 + k_2}a_{(k_1+k_2)k_3}(t).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Here, we use the same notations as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. By assumption the one-form $v \mapsto \langle X_t, v\rangle$ is closed. This is equivalent to $\langle \nabla_v X_t, w\rangle = \langle v, \nabla_w X_t\rangle$. It follows that the matrices $S(t)$ are all symmetric. Let t_0 be the first time such that there is a point x and a unit tangent vector v in the tangent space $T_{\varphi_t(x)}M$ at $\varphi_t(x)$ such that $\langle \nabla_v X_{t_0}, v\rangle = \langle S(t_0)v, v\rangle = ka_K(t_0)$. Here v denotes both the vector v and its matrix representation with respect to the orthonormal frame $v_1(t), ..., v_n(t)$. In particular, $ka_K(t_0)$ is the largest eigenvalue of $S(t_0)$ with eigenvector v. By parallel translating along geodesics, we extend v to a vector field still denoted by v. It follows that $\nabla v = 0$ and $\Delta v = 0$. Therefore, the following holds by assumption

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \nabla_v(\dot{X}_{t_0} + \nabla X_{t_0}(X_{t_0})), v \right\rangle + k_2 \left\langle \nabla X_{t_0}(\nabla X_{t_0}(v)), v \right\rangle + \left\langle W_{t_0} v, v \right\rangle \\
&\le b_{t_0} \left\langle \Delta \nabla X_{t_0}(v), v \right\rangle + \left\langle \nabla_{Y_{t_0}} \nabla_v X_{t_0}, v \right\rangle \\
&\le b_{t_0} \Delta \left\langle \nabla X_{t_0}(v), v \right\rangle + \nabla_{Y_{t_0}} \left\langle \nabla_v X_{t_0}, v \right\rangle \le 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In terms of the matrix notations, the above inequality becomes

$$\left\langle (M(t_0) + k_2 S(t_0)^2 + \mathcal{W}(t_0))v, v \right\rangle \le 0.$$

This, together with (2.2) and (2.5), gives

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\le \frac{d}{dt} \left(\langle S(t)v, v \rangle - k a_K(t) \right) \Big|_{t=t_0} \\
&= -\left\langle S(t_0)^2 v, v \right\rangle + \langle (M(t_0) - R(t_0))v, v \rangle + k a_K(t_0)^2 + kK \\
&\le -(1+k_2) \left\langle S(t_0)^2 v, v \right\rangle - \langle (\mathcal{W}(t_0) + R(t_0))v, v \rangle + k a_K(t_0)^2 + kK.
\end{aligned}$$

By assumption, $\mathcal{W}(t) + R(t) \ge k_3 I$. It follows that

$$k(1 - (1+k_2)k) a_K(t_0)^2 + kK \ge k_3.$$

Therefore, we obtain a contradiction if $k = \frac{1}{1+k_2}$ and $K < k_3(1+k_2)$. It follows that

$$\nabla X_t \le \frac{a_{k_3(1+k_2)}(t)}{1+k_2} g.$$

□

Proof of Corollary 2.4. If $F(\nabla X) \ge \mathbf{tr}(\nabla X)$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \log \det A(t) \le F(\nabla X_{\varphi_t(x)}).$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\det(d\varphi_{t_1})}{\det(d\varphi_{t_0})} \le \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^{t_1} F(\nabla X_{\varphi_t(x)}) dt \right) \le \frac{b_{(k_1+k_2)k_3}^{\frac{1}{k_1+k_2}}(t_1)}{b_{(k_1+k_2)k_3}^{\frac{1}{k_1+k_2}}(t_0)},$$

where

$$b_K(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \sin(\sqrt{K} t) & \text{if } K > 0 \\ t & \text{if } K = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-K}} \sinh(\sqrt{-K} t) & \text{if } K < 0. \end{cases}$$

□

3. A GENERALIZATION OF LI-YAU ESTIMATE

In this section, we prove the following generalization of the Li-Yau estimate.

Theorem 3.1. *Let ρ_t be a positive solution of the equation*

$$\dot{\rho}_t = \Delta\rho_t + \langle\nabla\rho_t, \nabla U_1\rangle + U_2\rho_t. \tag{3.1}$$

Assume that

1. $\boldsymbol{Rc} \geq 0$,
2. $\Delta\left(-\Delta U_1 - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla U_1|^2 + 2U_2\right) \geq k_3$.

Then

$$2\Delta\log\rho_t + \Delta U_1 \geq -na_{\frac{k_3}{n}}(t).$$

Remark 3.2. If the underlying manifold is $\mathbb{R}^n$, $U_1(x) = -\frac{k}{2}|x|^2$, and $U_2 \equiv 0$, then (1.3) satisfies the equality case

$$-2\Delta\log\rho_t - \Delta U_1 = na_{\frac{k_3}{n}}(t)$$

of Theorem 3.1.

By integrating the above generalization of Li-Yau estimate, one obtains a Harnack inequality. For this, we need to consider the following functional

$$\int_{s_0}^{s_1}\frac{1}{2}|\dot{\gamma}(\tau)|^2 + V(\gamma(\tau))d\tau,$$

where $\gamma : [s_0, s_1] \to M$ and $V = \Delta U_1 + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla U_1|^2 - 2U_2$.

Let c_{s_0,s_1} be the corresponding cost function defined by

$$c_{s_0,s_1}(x,y) = \inf\int_{s_0}^{s_1}\frac{1}{2}|\dot{\gamma}(\tau)|^2 + V(\gamma(\tau))d\tau, \tag{3.2}$$

where the infimum is taken over all paths γ satisfying $\gamma(s_0) = x$ and $\gamma(s_1) = y$.

Corollary 3.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, the following holds*

$$\frac{\rho_{s_1}(y)}{\rho_{s_0}(x)} \geq \left(\frac{b_{\frac{k_3}{n}}(s_1)}{b_{\frac{k_3}{n}}(s_0)}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{s_0,s_1}(x,y) + U_1(y) - U_1(x)\right)\right)$$

If we let ρ_t be the fundamental solution $p_t(x,y)$ of the equation (3.1) and let $s \to 0$ in Corollary 3.3, then we obtain the following generalization of Cheeger-Yau estimate [5].

Corollary 3.4. *Let* p_t *be the fundamental solution of the equation (3.1). Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, the following holds*

$$p_t(x,y) \ge \left(4\pi b_{\frac{k_3}{n}}(t)\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{0,t}(x,y) + U_1(y) - U_1(x)\right)\right).$$

Finally, we will show that the equality case in Corollary 3.4 is achieved by (1.3). More precisely,

Theorem 3.5. *Let* ρ_t *be defined by (1.3),* $U_1(x) = -\frac{k}{2}|x|^2$, *and* $U_2 \equiv 0$. *Then*

$$p_t(0,x) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{0,t}(0,x) + U_1(x) - U_1(0)\right)\right)\left(4\pi b_{-k^2}(t)\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. If we specialize Theorem 2.1 to the case where $F = \mathbf{tr}$ and $X_t = \nabla h_t$, then the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied if $k_1 = \frac{1}{n}$, $\mathbf{tr}(W_t) + \mathbf{Rc}(X_t, X_t) \ge k_3$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta\left(\dot{h}_t + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla h_t|^2\right) + k_2(\Delta h_t)^2 + \mathbf{tr}(W_t) \\ &\le b_t \Delta\Delta h_t + \langle \nabla \Delta h_t, Y_t\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Let $h_t = -2\log\rho_t - U_1$. Then the following holds

$$\dot{h}_t + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla h_t|^2 = \Delta h_t + \Delta U_1 + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla U_1|^2 - 2U_2.$$

Therefore, under the assumptions of the theorem, (3.3) holds with $k_2 = 0$ and $b_t \equiv 1$. Hence, the result follows from Theorem 2.1. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 3.3. Let γ be a minimizer of (3.2) which satisfies $\gamma(s_0) = x_0$ and $\gamma(s_1) = x_1$. Using the notations in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}h_t(\gamma(t)) - \frac{1}{2}|\dot{\gamma}(t)|^2 \\ &\le \frac{d}{dt}h_t(\gamma(t)) - \langle \nabla h_t(\gamma(t)), \dot{\gamma}(t)\rangle + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla h_t|^2_{\gamma(t)} \\ &= \Delta h_t(\gamma(t)) + \Delta U_1(\gamma(t)) + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla U_1|^2_{\gamma(t)} - 2U_2(\gamma(t)) \\ &\le n a_{\frac{k_3}{n}}(t) + V(\gamma(t)). \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality above, we have used Theorem 3.1.

By integrating the above inequality and noting that $\dot{b}_K = b_K a_K$, we obtain

$$h_{s_1}(x_1) - h_{s_0}(x_0) \le c_{s_0,s_1}(x_0,x_1) + n\log(b_{\frac{k_3}{n}}(s_1)) - n\log(b_{\frac{k_3}{n}}(s_0)).$$

By taking exponential of the above inequality, the result follows. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 3.4. By Corollary 3.3, we have

$$\frac{p_t(x,y)}{p_s(x,x)} \geq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{s,t}(x,y)+U_1(y)-U_1(x)\right)\right)\left(\frac{b_{\frac{k_3}{n}}(t)}{b_{\frac{k_3}{n}}(s)}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Since $\lim_{s\to 0}(4\pi s)^{n/2}p_s(x,x)=1$ (see [14, 8]), the above inequality gives

$$p_t(x,y) \geq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{0,t}(x,y)+U_1(y)-U_1(x)\right)\right)\left(4\pi b_{\frac{k_3}{n}}(t)\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$$

as claimed. □

Proof of Theorem 3.5. In this special case, the cost function (3.2) is given by

$$c_{0,t}(0,y) = \inf \int_0^t \frac{1}{2}|\dot{\gamma}(s)|^2 + V(\gamma(s))ds, \tag{3.4}$$

where $V(x) = -kn + \frac{1}{2}k^2|x|^2$ and the infimum is taken over all paths γ satisfying $\gamma(0)=0$ and $\gamma(t)=y$.

If $x(\cdot)$ is a minimizer of the above infimum, then it satisfies the following equations (see [7])

$$\dot{x} = p, \qquad \dot{p} = k^2 x.$$

Since $x(0)=0$ and $x(t)=y$, it follows that

$$x(s) = \frac{\sinh(ks)}{\sinh(kt)}x(t).$$

If we substitute this back into (3.4), then we obtain

$$c_{0,t}(0,y) = \frac{k|y|^2\coth(kt)}{2} - knt.$$

A computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} p_t(0,y) &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{0,t}(0,y)-\frac{k}{2}|y|^2\right)\right)\left(4\pi b_{-k^2}(t)\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{-k|y|^2}{2(\exp(2kt)-1)}\right)\left(\frac{2\pi(\exp(2tk)-1)}{k\exp(2kt)}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

4. A generalization of Hamilton's matrix Li-Yau estimate

In this section, we show that the following generalization of Hamilton's matrix Li-Yau estimate is a consequence of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 4.1. *Let ρ_t be a solution of the equation (3.1). Assume that*

1. *the sectional curvature of the Riemannian manifold M is non-negative,*
2. *the Ricci curvature is parallel,*
3. $-\nabla^2\left(\Delta U_1+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla U_1|^2-2U_2\right)\geq k_3 g$*,*

Then

$$-2\nabla^2\log\rho_t-\nabla^2 U_1\leq a_{k_3}(t)g.$$

Remark 4.2. If the underlying manifold is $\mathbb{R}^n$, $U_1(x)=-\frac{k}{2}|x|^2$, and $U_2\equiv 0$, then

$$-\nabla^2\left(\Delta U_1+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla U_1|^2-2U_2\right)=-k^2 g.$$

If ρ_t is given by (1.4), then

$$-2\nabla^2\log\rho_t-\nabla^2 U_1=a_{k_3}(t)g.$$

Proof. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that the sectional curvature of a Riemannian manifold is non-negative at a point x and the Ricci curvature $\boldsymbol{Rc}$ satisfies $\nabla\boldsymbol{Rc}_x=0$. Then for any smooth function f, the following holds*

$$\Delta(\nabla_v df(v))\geq\langle\nabla_v\nabla\Delta f,v\rangle.$$

Here we consider Hessian of f as a self-adjoint operator on T_xM and v is an eigenvector of this operator with the largest eigenvalue.

Proof. Let v be a tangent vector at x and let $e_1,...,e_n$ be an orthonormal frame at x. Let us extend v and e_i to a vector field defined locally near x by parallel translation along geodesics. It follows that $\nabla v(x)=0$ and $\nabla_{e_i}\nabla_{e_i}v(x)=0$ (throughout this proof we sum over repeated indices without mentioning). Therefore,

$$\Delta(\nabla_v df(v))=\nabla_{e_i}\nabla_{e_i}\nabla_v df(v).$$

Let α be a 1-form and β be a two form. By Ricci identity, we have

1. $\nabla_{v_1}\nabla_{v_2}\alpha(v_3)=\nabla_{v_2}\nabla_{v_1}\alpha(v_3)-\alpha(\mathbf{Rm}(v_1,v_2)v_3)$,
2. $\nabla_{v_4}\nabla_{v_1}\nabla_{v_2}\alpha(v_3)$
   $=\nabla_{v_4}\nabla_{v_2}\nabla_{v_1}\alpha(v_3)-\nabla_{v_4}\alpha(\mathbf{Rm}(v_1,v_2)v_3)-\alpha(\nabla_{v_4}\mathbf{Rm}(v_1,v_2)v_3)$,
3. $\nabla_{v_1}\nabla_{v_2}\beta(v_3,v_4)$
   $=\nabla_{v_2}\nabla_{v_1}\beta(v_3,v_4)-\beta(\mathbf{Rm}(v_1,v_2)v_3,v_4)-\beta(v_3,\mathbf{Rm}(v_1,v_2)v_4)$.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\nabla_v df(v)) &= \nabla_{e_i}(\nabla_v \nabla_{e_i} df(v) - df(\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)v))\\ &= \nabla_{e_i}\nabla_v\nabla_v df(e_i) - \nabla_{e_i} df(\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)v)\\ &\quad - df(\nabla_{e_i}\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)v)\\ &= \nabla_v\nabla_{e_i}\nabla_v df(e_i) - \nabla_{e_i} df(\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)v)\\ &\quad - \nabla_v df(\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)e_i) - \nabla_{e_i} df(\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)v)\\ &\quad - df(\nabla_{e_i}\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)v)\\ &= \nabla_v\nabla_v\nabla_{e_i} df(e_i) - \nabla_v df(\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)e_i)\\ &\quad - df(\nabla_v\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)e_i) - \nabla_{e_i} df(\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)v)\\ &\quad - \nabla_v df(\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)e_i) - \nabla_{e_i} df(\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)v)\\ &\quad - df(\nabla_{e_i}\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)v)\\ &= \langle \nabla_v\nabla\Delta f, v\rangle - 2\nabla_v df(\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)e_i)\\ &\quad - df(\nabla_v\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)e_i) - 2\nabla_{e_i} df(\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)v)\\ &\quad - df(\nabla_{e_i}\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)v).\end{aligned}$$

Since the Ricci curvature is parallel, we have, by the contracted Bianchi identity,

$$\Delta(\nabla_v df(v)) = \langle \nabla_v\nabla\Delta f, v\rangle - 2\nabla_v df(\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)e_i) - 2\nabla_{e_i} df(\mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)v).$$

If e_i is an eigenvector of the hessian of f with eigenvalue λ_i and v is an eigenvector of the hessian of f with the largest eigenvalue λ, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta(\nabla_v df(v))\\ &= \langle \nabla_v\nabla\Delta f, v\rangle + 2\lambda\mathbf{Rc}(v,v) - 2\lambda_i\langle e_i, \mathbf{Rm}(e_i,v)v\rangle\\ &\geq \langle \nabla_v\nabla\Delta f, v\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the assumption that the sectional curvature is non-negative.

□

When $X_t = \nabla h_t$, the conditions become $\mathcal{W}(t) + R(t) \geq k_3 I$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle \nabla_v\nabla\left(\dot{h}_t + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla h_t|^2\right), v\right\rangle + k_2\left\langle(\nabla^2 h_t)^2 v, v\right\rangle + \langle W_t v, v\rangle\\ &\leq b_t\left\langle \Delta\nabla^2 h_t(v), v\right\rangle + \left\langle \nabla_{Y_t}\nabla^2 h_t(v), v\right\rangle\end{aligned}$$

for each eigenvector v of the symmetric operator $\nabla^2 h_t$ with the largest eigenvalue.

Recall that if ρ_t is a positive solution of the equation

$$\dot{\rho}_t = \Delta\rho_t + \langle\nabla\rho_t, \nabla U_1\rangle + U_2\rho_t,$$

then $h_t = -2\log\rho_t - U_1$ satisfies

$$\dot{h}_t + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla h_t|^2 = \Delta h_t + \Delta U_1 + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla U_1|^2 - 2U_2.$$

It follows that

$$\nabla^2\left(\dot{h}_t + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla h_t|^2\right) + W_t = \nabla^2\Delta h_t,$$

where $W_t = -\nabla^2\left(\Delta U_1 + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla U_1|^2 - 2U_2\right)$.

Therefore, if we assume that the Ricci curvature is parallel, the sectional curvature is non-negative, and $W_t \geq k_3 g$, then

$$\left\langle \nabla^2\left(\dot{h}_t + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla h_t|^2\right)(v), v\right\rangle + \langle W_t(v), v\rangle \leq \left\langle \Delta\nabla^2 h_t(v), v\right\rangle.$$

It follows that

$$\nabla^2 h_t = -2\nabla^2\log\rho_t - \nabla^2 U_1 \leq a_{k_3}(t)g.$$

□

5. A generalization of Aronzon-Bénilan estimate

Aronzon-Bénilan estimate [2] is a differential Harnack inequality for the porous medium equation

$$\dot{\rho}_t = \Delta(\rho_t^m).$$

In this section, we apply Theorem 2.1 and prove the following generalization of Aronzon-Bénilan estimate.

Theorem 5.1. *Let ρ_t be a smooth positive solution of the equation*

$$\dot{\rho}_t = \Delta(\rho_t^m) + U\rho_t^{2-m}.$$

Assume that $\mathbf{Rc} \geq 0$, $\Delta U \geq \frac{k_3}{2m}$, *and* $m - 1 + \frac{2}{n} > 0$. *Then*

$$\frac{2m}{m-1}\Delta(\rho_t^{m-1}) \leq \frac{2n}{2+n(m-1)} a_{\frac{k_3(2+n(m-1))}{2n}}(t).$$

Proof. A computation shows that $h_t = \frac{2m}{1-m}\rho_t^{m-1}$ satisfies

$$\dot{h}_t + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla h_t|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(1-m)h_t\Delta h_t - 2mU.$$

It follows that

$$\Delta\left(\dot{h}_t + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla h_t|^2\right) + \frac{1}{2}(m-1)(\Delta h_t)^2 + 2m\Delta U$$
$$= (1-m)\langle\nabla h_t, \nabla\Delta h_t\rangle + m\rho_t^{m-1}\Delta\Delta h_t.$$

The rest follows from the assumptions and Theorem 2.1. □

6. On Laplacian and Hessian comparison type theorems

In this section, we prove versions of Laplacian and Hessian type comparison theorems for the following cost function

$$c_{s,t}(x,y)=\inf_{\gamma(s)=x,\gamma(t)=y}\int_s^t\frac{1}{2}|\dot\gamma(\tau)-\nabla U_1(\gamma(\tau))|^2-U_2(\gamma(\tau))d\tau. \tag{6.1}$$

More precisely,

Theorem 6.1. *Assume that*

1. *the Ricci curvature of the underlying manifold M is non-negative,*
2. $\Delta\left(U_2-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla U_1|^2\right)\geq k_3$ *for some negative constant k_3.*

Then the cost function $c_{0,t}$ defined by (1.6) satisfies

$$\Delta_x c_{0,t}(x_0,x)\leq\sqrt{-k_3 n}\coth\left(\sqrt{-\frac{k_3}{n}}\,t\right),$$

wherever $c_{0,t}(x_0,\cdot)$ is twice differentiable.

Theorem 6.2. *Assume that*

1. *the sectional curvature of the underlying manifold M is non-negative,*
2. $\nabla^2\left(U_2-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla U_1|^2\right)\geq k_3 g$ *for some negative constant k_3.*

Then the cost function $c_{0,t}$ defined by (1.6) satisfies

$$\nabla^2_x c_{0,t}(x_0,x)\leq\sqrt{-k_3}\coth\left(\sqrt{-k_3}\,t\right)g$$

wherever $c_{0,t}(x_0,\cdot)$ is twice differentiable.

Remark 6.3. The function $x\mapsto c_{0,t}(x_0,x)$ is locally semi-concave. In particular, it is twice differentiable Lebesgue almost everywhere by Alexandrov's theorem. Therefore, the conclusions in Theorem 6.1 and 6.2 hold Lebesgue almost everywhere (see [15] for the definitions and the results).

Remark 6.4. We can see that the above theorems are sharp by looking at the case $M=\mathbb{R}^n$, $U_1\equiv 0$, and $U_2(x)=-\frac{k^2}{2}|x|^2$. We have

$$\nabla^2\left(U_2-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla U_1|^2\right)=-k^2 I,\quad \Delta\left(U_2-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla U_1|^2\right)=-k^2 n$$

which is the equality case in the second conditions of Theorem 6.1 and 6.2. We also have

$$\nabla^2_x c_{0,t}(0,x)=k\coth(kt)I,\quad \Delta_x c_{0,t}(0,x)=kn\coth(kt)$$

which gives the equality case in the conclusions of Theorem 6.1 and 6.2.

Remark 6.5. A Bishop-Gromov type volume comparison theorem follows from Corollary 2.4.

The proof of Theorem 6.2 is similar to that of Theorem 6.1 and will be omitted.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. If $c_{0,t}(x_0, x)$ is smooth, then the result follows from Theorem 2.1 and 2.3. Indeed, the Legendre transform of the Lagrangian

$$L(x, v) = \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(x)(v^i - g^{il}(x)(U_1)_{x_l}(x))(v^j - g^{jk}(x)U_{x_k}(x)) - U_2(x)$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H(x, p) &= \sup_{v \in T_xM} [p(v) - L(x, v)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}(x)p_ip_j + g^{ij}(x)p_i(U_1)_{x_j}(x) + U_2(x). \end{aligned}$$

Here we sum over repeated indices.

The corresponding Hamilton-Jacobi equation is given by

$$\dot{f}_t + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla f_t|^2 + \langle \nabla U_1, \nabla f_t \rangle + U_2 = 0 \tag{6.2}$$

and $c_{0,t}(x_0, x)$ is a particular solution (see [1]).

If we set $X_t = \nabla\left(c_{0,t}(x_0, \cdot) + U_1\right)$, then

$$\mathbf{tr}\left(\nabla\left(\dot{X}_t + \nabla_{X_t}X_t\right) + \nabla^2\left(U_2 - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla U_1|^2\right)\right) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta_x c_{0,t}(x_0, x) \le n a_{\frac{k_3}{n}}(t) = \sqrt{-k_3 n}\coth\left(\sqrt{-\frac{k_3}{n}}\,t\right)$$

by Theorem 2.1.

In general, if x is a point where $c_{0,t}(x_0, \cdot)$ is twice differentiable, then there is a unique minimizer γ to the infimum (1.6) joining x_0 and x. Moreover, $c_{0,s}(x_0, \cdot)$ is smooth at $\gamma(s)$ for each s in $(0, t)$ (see [4]). Therefore, the proof of Theorem 2.1 still applies. Note that, in this case, (2.4) is an equality. □

REFERENCES

[1] A.A. Agrachev, Y.L. Sachkov: Control theory from the geometric viewpoint. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 87. Control Theory and Optimization, II. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[2] D.G. Aronson, P. Bénilan: Régularité des solutions de l'q́uation des milieux poreux dans RN. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B 288 (1979), no. 2, A103-A105.

[3] D. Bakry, M. Ledoux: A logarithmic Sobolev form of the Li-Yau parabolic inequality. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 22 (2006), no. 2, 683-702.

[4] P. Cannarsa, C. Sinestrari: Semiconcave functions, Hamilton-Jacobi equations, and optimal control. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 58. Birkhuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.

[5] J. Cheeger, S.-T. Yau: A lower bound for the heat kernel. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 34 (1981), no. 4, 465-480.

[6] B. Chow: On Harnacks Inequality and Entropy for the Gaussian curvature flow. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 44 (1991), 469-483.

[7] L.C. Evans: Partial differential equations. Second edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 19. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.

[8] P.B. Gilkey: The spectral geometry of a Riemannian manifold. J. Differential Geometry 10 (1975), no. 4, 601-618.

[9] R. Hamilton: A matrix Harnack estimate for the heat equation. Comm. Anal. Geom. 1 (1993), no. 1, 113-126.

[10] P. Li, S.-T. Yau: On the parabolic kernel of the Schrdinger operator. Acta Math. 156 (1986), no. 3-4, 153-201.

[11] P. Lu, L. Ni, J-L Vázquez, C. Villani: Local Aronson-Bnilan estimates and entropy formulae for porous medium and fast diffusion equations on manifolds. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 91 (2009), no. 1, 1-19.

[12] J. Moser: A Harnack Inequality for Parabolic Differential Equations. Commun. Pure Appl. Math 17 (1964), 101-134.

[13] L. Ni: Monotonicity and Li-Yau-Hamilton inequalities. Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. XII. Geometric flows, 251-301, Surv. Differ. Geom., 12, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2008.

[14] R.T. Seeley: Complex powers of an elliptic operator. 1967 Singular Integrals (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Chicago, Ill., 1966) pp. 288-307 Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.

[15] C. Villani: Optimal transport. Old and new. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 338. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.

E-mail address: `wylee@math.cuhk.edu.hk`

Room 216, Lady Shaw Building, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong